

COMPACT PERIODS OF EISENSTEIN SERIES OF ORTHOGONAL GROUPS OF RANK ONE

JOÃO PEDRO BOAVIDA

ABSTRACT. We determine the period of a spherical Eisenstein series E_φ of an orthogonal group $G = \mathrm{O}(n+3)$ of rank one, along the anisotropic subgroup $H = \mathrm{O}(n+2)$. We unwind the global period

$$(E_\varphi)_H = \int_{H_k \backslash H_\mathbb{A}} E_\varphi$$

into an Euler product and evaluate the local factors at good non-archimedean places.

INTRODUCTION

Let $G = \mathrm{O}(n+3)$ have rank one, $H = \mathrm{O}(n+2)$ be an anisotropic subgroup of G , and k be a number field with adele ring $\mathbb{A}$. We determine the period

$$(E_\varphi)_H = \int_{H_k \backslash H_\mathbb{A}} E_\varphi$$

of a spherical Eisenstein series E_φ on G along H .

Those periods fit into the Gross–Prasad conjecture [9–11] on the periods of $\mathrm{SO}(n+1)$ –automorphic forms over $\mathrm{SO}(n)$. Ichino and Ikeda [13] discuss further details and broader context is provided in papers by Jacquet, Lapid, Offen, and/or Rogawski [16, 23, 24], and surveys by Gross, Reeder [12], and Jiang [19].

In section 1, we unwind the period into an Euler product. In section 2, we show how to evaluate the local factor at good non-archimedean places (those where both 2 and the discriminant Δ of the quadratic form on k^{n+2} are units) and in the subsequent sections we carry out the calculations for different dimensions n (at good places, n and Δ are complete invariants of a quadratic form). In section 8, we adapt the calculation to Eisenstein series obtained from an unramified Hecke character and to periods

$$(E_\varphi, F)_H = \int_{H_k \backslash H_\mathbb{A}} E_\varphi \cdot \overline{F}$$

against a form F on $H_k \backslash H_\mathbb{A}$. In section 9, we use the same methods to evaluate (the local factors of) the constant term of E_φ .

The periods of Eisenstein series may suggest what to expect for the periods of cuspforms—moreover, as discussed, e.g., by Garrett [4], the periods of a cuspform are nonzero only under stringent conditions and then, almost everywhere, the cuspform generates locally the same type of degenerate principal series as the Eisenstein series considered here. We would rely also on $(\mathrm{O}(n+1), \mathrm{O}(n))$ being a

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F67; Secondary 11R42, 11S40.

Key words and phrases. Eisenstein series, period, automorphic, L -function, orthogonal group.

Gelfand pair, a fact conjectured by J. Bernstein some years ago and established by Aizenbud, Gourevitch, and Sayag [2].

Acknowledgements. This preprint is based on part of my doctoral dissertation, done under the supervision of Paul Garrett. It is influenced by discussions with and talks by him.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Setup	2
2. Local period at good non-archimedean places	3
3. Case $n = 0$	6
4. Case $n = 1$	6
5. Case $n = 2$	8
6. Case $n > 2$	11
7. Summary and comparison with known results	13
8. Two generalizations	14
9. The constant term	15
References	16

1. SETUP

Let k be a number field and equip k^{n+3} with a quadratic form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ such that $k^{n+3} = (k \cdot e_+) \oplus k^{n+1} \oplus (k \cdot e_-)$ is an orthogonal decomposition with $\langle e_+, e_+ \rangle = 1$ and $\langle e_-, e_- \rangle = -1$. With $k^{n+2} = (k \cdot e_+) \oplus k^{n+1}$, we let $G = \mathrm{O}(n+3)$ and $H = \mathrm{O}(n+2)$. We consider only the case when k^{n+2} is anisotropic; in particular, G_k has rank 1 and H_k is k -anisotropic.

With $e = e_+ + e_-$, let P be the rational parabolic stabilizing the isotropic line $k \cdot e$. Its unipotent radical N is the fixer of e , and we choose the Levi component M to be the subgroup of P stabilizing $\{e_+, e_-\}^\perp = k^{n+1}$.

The modular function of P is $\delta(p) = |\lambda|^{n+1}$, where $e \cdot p = e/\lambda$, and $\delta^s(p) = |\lambda|^{(n+1)s}$ is a character of $P_\mathbb{A}$. Then

$$\varphi^\circ : N_\mathbb{A} M_k \backslash G_\mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \varphi^\circ(nmk) = \delta^s(m) = |\lambda|^{(n+1)s}$$

is in the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\delta^s)$. Henceforth, we set $\alpha = (n+1)s$.

On the other hand, let

$$(1) \quad \varphi(g) = \int_{\mathbb{J}} |t|^\alpha \Phi(te \cdot g) dt,$$

where $\Phi = \bigotimes_v \Phi_v$ is a spherical function (to be chosen later). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(pg) &= \int_{\mathbb{J}} |t|^\alpha \Phi(te \cdot pg) dt = \int_{\mathbb{J}} |t|^\alpha \Phi\left(\frac{t}{\lambda} e \cdot g\right) dt = |\lambda|^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{J}} |t|^\alpha \Phi(te \cdot g) dt \\ &= |\lambda|^\alpha \varphi(g). \end{aligned}$$

This function φ is in the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\delta^s)$. The normalized spherical function is

$$\varphi^\circ(g) = \frac{\varphi(g)}{\varphi(1)}.$$

Let

$$E_s(g) = E_{\varphi^\circ}(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in P_k \backslash G_k} \varphi^\circ(\gamma g).$$

be the *spherical Eisenstein series* on $G_\mathbb{A}$. We shall evaluate the period

$$(E_{\varphi^\circ})_H = \int_{H_k \backslash H_\mathbb{A}} E_{\varphi^\circ} = \int_{H_k \backslash H_\mathbb{A}} \sum_{\gamma \in P_k \backslash G_k} \varphi^\circ(\gamma g) dg.$$

By Witt's lemma, $P_k \backslash G_k$ is the space of isotropic lines in k^{n+3} and H_k acts transitively on that space (here we rely on the rational Witt index being 1, and so no *rational* isotropic lines are orthogonal to e_-). Letting $\Theta = H \cap P = O(n+1)$ be the fixer of e_+ and e_- ,

$$(E_{\varphi^\circ})_H = \int_{H_k \backslash H_\mathbb{A}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Theta_k \backslash H_k} \varphi^\circ(\gamma g) dg = \int_{\Theta_k \backslash H_\mathbb{A}} \varphi^\circ.$$

As $\varphi^\circ = \bigotimes_v \varphi_v^\circ$ is left $\Theta_\mathbb{A}$ -invariant,

$$(E_{\varphi^\circ})_H = \int_{\Theta_k \backslash H_\mathbb{A}} \varphi^\circ = \text{vol}(\Theta_k \backslash \Theta_\mathbb{A}) \cdot \int_{\Theta_\mathbb{A} \backslash H_\mathbb{A}} \varphi^\circ = \text{vol}(\Theta_k \backslash \Theta_\mathbb{A}) \cdot \prod_v \int_{\Theta_v \backslash H_v} \varphi_v^\circ.$$

We are to evaluate

$$\int_{\Theta_v \backslash H_v} \varphi_v^\circ.$$

At places where $(k_v)^{n+2}$ is anisotropic, H_v is compact. Choose the measures on Θ_v and H_v so that

$$\int_{\Theta_v \backslash H_v} \varphi^\circ = \int_{\Theta_v \backslash H_v} 1 = \text{vol}(\Theta_v \backslash H_v) = 1.$$

This settles the anisotropic case.

(All remaining calculations are local and we suppress mention of the place v whenever possible. We also assume that both 2 and the discriminant Δ of the quadratic form are local units.)

2. LOCAL PERIOD AT GOOD NON-ARCHIMEDEAN PLACES

Choose a hyperbolic pair x, x' in k^{n+2} so that $x_\pm = x' \pm \frac{1}{2}x$ and $x_+ = e_+$ and change coordinates so that the restricted quadratic form has matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & B^{-1} & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$$

and $k^{n+2} = (k \cdot x') \oplus k^n \oplus (k \cdot x)$ is an orthogonal decomposition. Recall that $H = O(n+2)$ and that $\Theta = O(n+1)$ is the subgroup of H fixing x_+ .

For good non-archimedean places, the function Φ_v , used in the definition (1) of φ , is the characteristic function of $\mathfrak{o}^{n+3}$. We are to evaluate the local integrals

$$\int_{\Theta \backslash H} \varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Theta \backslash H} \varphi^\circ.$$

Let Q be the parabolic stabilizing the line $k \cdot x$; we claim that ΘQ is the open orbit of $\Theta \backslash H / Q$. By Witt's lemma, $\Theta \backslash H$ can be identified with the homogeneous space of vectors y with $\langle y, y \rangle = 1$ and the orbit of x_+ under the action of Q includes all such

y not orthogonal to x : the only non-trivial requirement is that $\langle yq, xq \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$, which can be achieved by choosing $xq = \mu x$ and $\langle yq, xq \rangle = \mu \langle yq, x \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$.

Therefore, the local integral is

$$(2) \quad \int_{\Theta \setminus H} \varphi(h) dh = \int_{\Theta \setminus \Theta Q} \varphi(q) dq = \int_{(\Theta \cap Q) \setminus Q} \varphi(q) dq.$$

Here, $\Theta \cap Q = O(n)$ is the fixer of x_+ and x_- . Set

$$m_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & & \\ & \text{id} & \\ & & 1/\lambda \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad n_a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & -\frac{1}{2}B(a) \\ & \text{id} & * \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With $M_* = \{m_*\}$, we have $\Theta \cap Q = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & * & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$, $N = \{n_*\}$, $M = (\Theta \cap Q) \cdot M_*$, and $Q = M \cdot N$. The elements of $(\Theta \cap Q) \setminus Q$ can be expressed as $m_\lambda \cdot n_a$ and $\delta_Q(m_\lambda) = |\lambda|^n$. Therefore,

$$d(m_\lambda \cdot n_a) = |\lambda|^n d\lambda da$$

(with $d\lambda$ multiplicative and da additive) is a right-invariant measure and the local integral (2) can be written

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Theta \setminus H} \varphi &= \int_{(\Theta \cap Q) \setminus (\Theta \cap Q) \cdot M_* \cdot N} \varphi(m_\lambda \cdot n_a) d(m_\lambda \cdot n_a) \\ &= \int_{M_* \times N} \varphi(m_\lambda \cdot n_a) |\lambda|^n d\lambda da \\ &= \int_{k^\times} \int_{k^n} \int_{k^\times} |t|^\alpha |\lambda|^n \Phi(te \cdot m_\lambda \cdot n_a) dt da d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$e \cdot m_\lambda \cdot n_a = (e_+ + e_-) \cdot m_\lambda \cdot n_a = x_+ \cdot m_\lambda \cdot n_a + e_-,$$

we have (in k^{n+2})

$$x_+ \cdot m_\lambda \cdot n_a = (x' + \tfrac{1}{2}x) \cdot m_\lambda \cdot n_a = \left(\lambda, a\lambda, \tfrac{1}{2\lambda}(1 - B(a\lambda)) \right)$$

and (in k^{n+3})

$$te \cdot m_\lambda \cdot n_a = \left(\lambda t, a\lambda t, \tfrac{1}{2\lambda t}(t^2 - B(a\lambda t)), t \right).$$

Therefore, continuing (3),

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Theta \setminus H} \varphi &= \int_{k^\times} \int_{k^n} \int_{k^\times} |t|^\alpha |\lambda|^n \Phi \left(\lambda t, a\lambda t, \tfrac{1}{2\lambda t}(t^2 - B(a\lambda t)), t \right) d\lambda da dt \\ &= \int_{k^\times \cap \mathfrak{o}} |t|^{\alpha-n} \int_{\mathfrak{o}^n} \int_{k^\times} \text{ch} \left\{ \lambda : |t^2 - B(a)| \leq |\lambda| \leq 1 \right\} d\lambda da dt. \end{aligned}$$

Definition. With $z = q^{-\beta}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} X_\ell^B(\rho) &= \text{meas} \left\{ a \in \mathfrak{o}^n : B(a) = \rho \bmod \varpi^\ell \right\} \quad \text{and} \\ X^B(\beta; \rho) &= \sum_{\ell \geq 0} z^\ell X_\ell^B(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

(When there is no risk of ambiguity, we suppress B or ρ , or use n instead of B .)

Proposition. *We have*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{o}^n} \int_{k^\times} |\lambda|^\beta \cdot \text{ch}\left\{\lambda : |B(a) - \rho| \leq |\lambda| \leq 1\right\} d\lambda da = X(\beta; \rho).$$

Proof. We note first that

$$\text{meas}\left\{a \in \mathfrak{o}^n : \text{ord}(B(a) - \rho) = k\right\} = X_k - X_{k+1}.$$

In light of that, setting $z = q^{-\beta}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the integral} &= \int_{\mathfrak{o}^n} \int_{k^\times} |\lambda|^\beta \cdot \text{ch}\left\{\lambda : 0 \leq \text{ord } \lambda \leq \text{ord}(B(a) - \rho)\right\} d\lambda da \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{o}^n} \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq \text{ord}(B(a) - \rho)} z^\ell da = \sum_{k \geq 0} (X_k - X_{k+1}) \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq k} z^\ell \\ &= \sum_{\ell \geq 0} z^\ell \sum_{k \geq \ell} (X_k - X_{k+1}) = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} z^\ell X_\ell = X(\beta; \rho). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

(5) **Definition.** We define

$$\Pi^B(\alpha, \beta) = \int_{k^\times \cap \mathfrak{o}} |t|^\alpha \int_{\mathfrak{o}^n} \int_{k^\times} |\lambda|^\beta \cdot \text{ch}\left\{\lambda : |t^2 - B(a)| \leq |\lambda| \leq 1\right\} d\lambda da dt.$$

(When there is no risk of ambiguity, we suppress B or write n instead.)

(6) **Proposition.** *The local period is*

$$\int_{\Theta \setminus H} \varphi = \Pi(\alpha - n, 0) = \Pi((n+1)(s-1) + 1, 0).$$

Proof. Continuing (4) and recalling that $\alpha = (n+1)s$, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Theta \setminus H} \varphi &= \int_{k^\times \cap \mathfrak{o}} |t|^{\alpha-n} \cdot X(0; t^2) dt = \Pi(\alpha - n, 0) \\ &= \Pi((n+1)s - (n+1) + 1, 0) = \Pi((n+1)(s-1) + 1, 0). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

The discriminant enters the picture as $\varepsilon = \chi(\Delta)$, where χ is the non-trivial character on $\mathfrak{o}^\times / \mathfrak{o}^{\times 2}$ and $\tilde{k} = k[x] / \langle x^2 - \Delta \rangle$ is the quadratic extension. We write

$$Z(\alpha) = \int_{k^\times \cap \mathfrak{o}} |t|^\alpha dt = \frac{1}{1 - q^{-\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad L(\alpha, \chi) = \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon q^{-\alpha}}.$$

In particular,

$$\varphi^\circ(g) = \frac{\varphi(g)}{\varphi(1)} = \frac{\varphi(g)}{Z(\alpha)} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Theta \setminus H} \varphi^\circ = \frac{\Pi(\alpha - n, 0)}{Z(\alpha)}.$$

We set $|t| = q^{-T}$ throughout. We will see that $X(\beta; t^2)$ is a sum of powers with exponent T . With $a = q^{-\alpha}$,

$$(7) \quad \int_{k^\times \cap \mathfrak{o}} |t|^\alpha \cdot u^T dt = \int_{k^\times \cap \mathfrak{o}} (au)^T dt = \sum_{T \geq 0} (au)^T = \frac{1}{1 - au} = Z(\alpha - \log_q u).$$

3. CASE $n = 0$

The case $G = O(3)$ is a degenerate one: even where k^2 is isotropic and thus X^0 is defined (which is not almost everywhere), the form on k^0 is 0.

(8) **Proposition.** *If k^2 is isotropic and $z = q^{-\beta}$, we have*

$$X^0(\beta; t^2) = \frac{1 - z^{2T+1}}{1 - z}.$$

Proof. Recalling $|t| = q^{-T}$, we have

$$X_\ell(t^2) = \text{meas}\left\{x \in \mathfrak{o}^0 : B(x) = t^2 \bmod \varpi^\ell\right\} = \text{ch}\left\{0 \leq \ell \leq 2T\right\},$$

leading to

$$X(\beta; t^2) = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} z^\ell X_\ell = \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq 2T} z^\ell = \frac{1 - z^{2T+1}}{1 - z}. \quad \square$$

Proposition. *If k^2 is isotropic, we have*

$$\Pi^0(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{Z(\alpha) Z(\alpha + \beta) Z(\alpha + 2\beta)}{Z(2\alpha + 2\beta)}.$$

Proof. With $a = q^{-\alpha}$ and $z = q^{-\beta}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\alpha, \beta) &= \int_{k^\times \cap \mathfrak{o}} |t|^\alpha \cdot \frac{1 - z^{2T+1}}{1 - z} dt = \frac{1}{1 - z} \int_{k^\times \cap \mathfrak{o}} a^T (1 - z^{2T+1}) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - z} \left[\frac{1}{1 - a} - \frac{z}{1 - az^2} \right] = \frac{1 - a^2 z^2}{(1 - a)(1 - az^2)(1 - az)} \end{aligned}$$

(we used (7) in the second line). $\square$

Therefore, when k^2 is isotropic ($\varepsilon = 1$), $\beta = 0$ and $z = 1$ lead to

$$\int_{\Theta \setminus H} \varphi^\circ = \frac{\Pi^0(\alpha, 0)}{Z(\alpha)} = \frac{Z(\alpha)^2}{Z(2\alpha)} = \frac{Z(\alpha) L(\alpha, \chi)}{Z(2\alpha)}.$$

When k^2 is anisotropic ($\varepsilon = -1$), the local period is simply 1. Combining $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and recalling that $\alpha = s$, the local period is

$$(9) \quad \frac{Z_{\tilde{k}/k}(\alpha)}{Z(2\alpha)} = \frac{Z_{\tilde{k}/k}(s)}{Z(2s)}.$$

4. CASE $n = 1$

The quadratic form on k^1 can be expressed as Δx^2 .

(10) **Proposition.** *With $z = q^{-\beta}$, $w = zq^{-1}$, and $u = z^2 q^{-1}$, we have*

$$X^1(\beta; t^2) = \frac{1 + w}{1 - u} \left[1 - \frac{u - \varepsilon w}{1 - \varepsilon w} u^T \right].$$

Proof. We first evaluate

$$X_\ell(t^2) = \text{meas}\left\{x \in \mathfrak{o}^1 : \Delta x^2 = t^2 \bmod \varpi^\ell\right\}.$$

If $t^2 = 0 \bmod \varpi^\ell$ (i.e., if $\ell \leq 2T$), we have

$$X_\ell = X_\ell(0) = \text{meas}\left\{x \in \mathfrak{o} : x^2 = 0 \bmod \varpi^\ell\right\} = q^{-\lceil \ell/2 \rceil}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq 2T} z^\ell q^{-\lceil \ell/2 \rceil} &= 1 + \sum_{0 < k \leq T} (z^{-1} + 1) z^{2k} q^{-k} = 1 + (z^{-1} + 1) \sum_{0 < k \leq T} u^k \\
 (11) \quad &= 1 + \frac{(z^{-1} + 1)u(1 - u^T)}{1 - u} = 1 + \frac{(w + u)(1 - u^T)}{1 - u} \\
 &= \frac{1 + w}{1 - u} - \frac{w + u}{1 - u} u^T.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $t^2 \neq 0 \bmod \varpi^\ell$ (i.e., if $\ell > 2T$), we have two distinct situations: either $\Delta x^2 - t^2$ is isotropic ($\varepsilon = 1$) or it isn't ($\varepsilon = -1$).

When $\varepsilon = -1$, the form $\Delta x^2 - t^2$ is anisotropic. In that case, we show in lemma (13) below that $\Delta x^2 - t^2 = 0 \bmod \varpi^\ell$ requires $t^2 = 0 \bmod \varpi^\ell$. Therefore, $X_\ell = 0$ for $t^2 \neq 0 \bmod \varpi^\ell$.

When $\varepsilon = 1$, we have

$$\Delta x^2 - t^2 = 0 \bmod \varpi^\ell \implies x^2 = t^2 \bmod \varpi^\ell \implies |x| = |t| = q^{-T},$$

leading to $(x - t)(x + t) = 0 \bmod \varpi^\ell$ and $x = \pm t \bmod \varpi^{\ell-T}$. That is,

$$(12) \quad X_\ell = \text{meas} \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{o} : x = \pm t \bmod \varpi^{\ell-T} \right\} = 2q^{-\ell+T}$$

and

$$\sum_{\ell > 2T} z^\ell X_\ell = \sum_{\ell > 2T} z^\ell 2q^{-\ell+T} = \frac{2z^{2T+1}q^{-T-1}}{1 - zq^{-1}} = \frac{2zq^{-1}(z^2q^{-1})^T}{1 - zq^{-1}} = \frac{2wu^T}{1 - w}.$$

Taking this last expression as well as (11) into account, and combining the cases $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, we have

$$X(\beta; t^2) = \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq 2T} z^\ell X_\ell + \sum_{\ell > 2T} z^\ell X_\ell = \frac{1 + w}{1 - u} - \frac{w + u}{1 - u} u^T + \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)w}{1 - w} u^T. \quad \square$$

(13) **Lemma.** *If k is a non-archimedean field with discrete valuation $|\cdot|$ and if the form $B(x) = \sum a_i x_i^2$ is anisotropic, then*

$$|B(x)| = \max \left\{ |a_i x_i^2| \right\}.$$

Proof. If some coordinate had $|a_i x_i^2| > |B(x)|$, then changing that coordinate only, to $x'_i = x_i(1 + \delta)$, would lead to $B(x') = B(x) + 2a_i x_i^2 \delta + a_i x_i^2 \delta^2$. Recalling that we are assuming 2 is a unit and $|B(x)| < |a_i x_i^2|$, a judicious choice of δ leads to $|B(x')| < |B(x)|$. Iterating this procedure, $|x_i|$ is unchanged while $|B(x)| \rightarrow 0$. In other words, as we are dealing with a discrete valuation, the limit would be an isotropic vector. $\square$

(14) **Proposition.** *We have*

$$\Pi^1(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{Z(\alpha) Z_{\tilde{k}/k}(\beta + 1) Z(\alpha + 2\beta + 1)}{Z(2\beta + 2) L(\alpha + \beta + 1, \chi)}.$$

Proof. With $a = q^{-\alpha}$, $w = zq^{-1} = q^{-\beta-1}$, and $u = z^2q^{-1} = q^{-2\beta-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{k \times \cap \mathfrak{o}} |t|^\alpha \cdot X(\beta; t^2) dt &= \frac{1+w}{1-u} \int_{k \times \cap \mathfrak{o}} a^T \left[1 - \frac{u - \varepsilon w}{1 - \varepsilon w} u^T \right] dt \\ &= \frac{1+w}{1-u} \left[\frac{1}{1-a} - \frac{u - \varepsilon w}{(1 - \varepsilon w)(1 - au)} \right] \\ &= \frac{(1-w^2)(1 - \varepsilon aw)}{(1-a)(1-w)(1 - \varepsilon w)(1 - au)}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Therefore, the local period is

$$\int_{\Theta \setminus H} \varphi^\circ = \frac{\Pi^1(\alpha - 1, 0)}{Z(\alpha)} = \frac{Z(\alpha - 1) Z_{\tilde{k}/k}(1) Z(\alpha)}{Z(\alpha) Z(2) L(\alpha, \chi)} = \frac{Z(\alpha - 1) Z_{\tilde{k}/k}(1)}{Z(2) L(\alpha, \chi)}.$$

The product of such factors does not converge, due to inadvertent normalizations of measures for $n > 0$; we must adjust the measures by “convergence factors” (in our case, precisely $Z_k(1)$), as discussed by Weil [30]. Recalling that $\alpha = 2s$, the local period is, up to a multiplicative constant,

$$(15) \quad \frac{Z(\alpha - 1)}{L(\alpha, \chi)} = \frac{Z(2s - 1)}{L(2s, \chi)}.$$

5. CASE $n = 2$

(We express the form in terms of x and y instead of x_1 and x_2 .)

(16) **Proposition.** *With $z = q^{-\beta}$ and $w = zq^{-1}$, we have*

$$X^2(\beta; t^2) = \frac{(1 - \varepsilon w q^{-1})(1 - \varepsilon w^{2T+1})}{(1 - w)(1 - \varepsilon w)}.$$

Proof of proposition (16) in anisotropic case. This is the case $\varepsilon = -1$. We can assume the form is $x^2 - \Delta y^2$. We will calculate

$$X_\ell(\eta t^2) = \text{meas} \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathfrak{o}^2 : x^2 - \Delta y^2 = \eta t^2 \bmod \varpi^\ell \right\},$$

for η a unit and $|t| = q^{-T}$.

When $\eta t^2 = 0 \bmod \varpi^\ell$ (i.e., $\ell \leq 2T$), the anisotropy leads to

$$X_\ell(\eta t^2) = \text{meas} \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathfrak{o}^2 : x^2 = y^2 = 0 \bmod \varpi^\ell \right\} = (q^{-\lceil \ell/2 \rceil})^2 = q^{-2\lceil \ell/2 \rceil}.$$

We saw in (11) (writing *here* $zq^{-2} = wq^{-1}$ and $z^2q^{-2} = w^2$ in place of what *there*, at the end of the second line, is written $zq^{-2} = w$ and $z^2q^{-2} = u$) that

$$\sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq 2T} z^\ell X_\ell = \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq 2T} z^\ell q^{-2\lceil \ell/2 \rceil} = 1 + \frac{(wq^{-1} + w^2)(1 - w^{2T})}{1 - w^2}.$$

When $\eta t^2 \neq 0 \bmod \varpi^\ell$ (i.e., $\ell > 2T$), again by anisotropy,

$$\begin{aligned} X_\ell(\eta t^2) &= \text{meas} \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathfrak{o}^2 : x^2 - \Delta y^2 = \eta t^2 \bmod \varpi^\ell \right\} \\ &= \text{meas} \left\{ t \cdot (x, y) \in (t \cdot \mathfrak{o})^2 : x^2 - \Delta y^2 = \eta \bmod \varpi^{\ell-2T} \right\} \\ &= |t|^2 \cdot X_{\ell-2T}(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

We now make use of

$$(ax + \Delta by)^2 - \Delta(bx + ay)^2 = (a^2 - \Delta b^2)(x^2 - \Delta y^2)$$

to conclude that $X_\ell(\eta)$ does not depend on the unit η . (The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & \Delta b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$ is invertible in $\mathfrak{o}^2$ exactly when its determinant $a^2 - \Delta b^2$ is a unit.) Moreover, any unit can be expressed in the form $\eta = a^2 - \Delta b^2 \bmod \varpi^\ell$; indeed that condition is equivalent to $a^2 = \eta + \Delta b^2 \bmod \varpi^\ell$ and, for $a, b \in \mathfrak{o}$,

$$\text{meas}\{a^2 \bmod \varpi^\ell\} = \text{meas}\{\eta + \Delta b^2 \bmod \varpi^\ell\} = q^{-\lceil \ell/2 \rceil} + \frac{1 - q^{-\lceil \ell/2 \rceil}}{2} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

(This argument is a trivial generalization of that used by Cassels [3] for the case $\ell = 1$.) Using the anisotropy again, this means that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\text{unit } \eta \bmod \varpi^\ell} X_\ell(\eta) &= \sum_{\text{unit } \eta \bmod \varpi^\ell} \text{meas}\{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{o}^2 : x^2 - \Delta y^2 = \eta \bmod \varpi^\ell\} \\ &= \text{meas}\{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{o}^2 : x^2 - \Delta y^2 = \text{unit}\} = 1 - q^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$X_\ell(1) = \frac{1 - q^{-2}}{\#\{\text{units } \eta \bmod \varpi^\ell\}} = \frac{1 - q^{-2}}{(1 - q^{-1})q^\ell} = (1 + q^{-1})q^{-\ell}$$

and also

$$X_\ell(\eta t^2) = q^{-2T} \cdot X_{\ell-2T}(\rho) = (1 + q^{-1})q^{-\ell}.$$

This leads to

$$\sum_{\ell > 2T} z^\ell X_\ell = \sum_{\ell > 2T} w^\ell (1 + q^{-1}) = \frac{1 + q^{-1}}{1 - w} w^{2T+1}.$$

Combining the two subsums (over $\ell \leq 2T$ and over $\ell > 2T$) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} X(z; \eta t^2) &= 1 + \frac{(wq^{-1} + w^2)(1 - w^{2T})}{1 - w^2} + \frac{1 + q^{-1}}{1 - w} w^{2T+1} \\ &= \frac{(1 + wq^{-1})(1 + w^{2T+1})}{1 - w^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the anisotropic case. $\square$

Proof of proposition (16) in isotropic case. This is the case $\varepsilon = 1$. We can assume the form is xy . We will evaluate

$$X_\ell(\rho) = \text{meas}\{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{o}^2 : xy = \rho \bmod \varpi^\ell\}.$$

We set $N = \text{ord } \rho$.

If $\rho = 0 \bmod \varpi^\ell$ (i.e., $\ell \leq N$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (17) \quad X_\ell &= \text{meas}\{x = 0 \bmod \varpi^\ell\} + \sum_{0 \leq k < \ell} \text{meas}\{\text{ord } x = k; y = 0 \bmod \varpi^{\ell-k}\} \\ &= q^{-\ell} + \sum_{0 \leq k < \ell} q^{-k} (1 - q^{-1}) q^{-\ell+k} = q^{-\ell} + \ell q^{-\ell} (1 - q^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq N} z^\ell X_\ell = \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq N} \left(w^\ell + \ell w^\ell (1 - q^{-1}) \right) = \frac{1 - w^{N+1}}{1 - w} + (1 - q^{-1}) \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq N} \ell w^\ell.$$

We observe in passing that, if

$$f(w) = \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq N} w^\ell = \frac{1 - w^{N+1}}{1 - w},$$

then

$$\sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq N} \ell w^\ell = w f'(w) = \frac{w + (Nw - N - 1) w^{N+1}}{(1 - w)^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq N} z^\ell X_\ell &= \frac{1 - w^{N+1}}{1 - w} + (1 - q^{-1}) \frac{w + (Nw - N - 1) w^{N+1}}{(1 - w)^2} \\ &= \frac{1 - wq^{-1}}{(1 - w)^2} - \left[\frac{1}{1 - w} + \frac{N(1 - q^{-1})}{1 - w} + \frac{1 - q^{-1}}{(1 - w)^2} \right] w^{N+1}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\rho \neq 0 \bmod \varpi^\ell$ (i.e., $\ell > N$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (18) \quad X_\ell &= \text{meas} \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathfrak{o}^2 : xy = \rho \bmod \varpi^\ell \right\} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq N} \text{meas} \left\{ \text{ord } x = k; y = \rho/x \bmod \varpi^{\ell-k} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq N} q^{-k} (1 - q^{-1}) q^{-\ell+k} = (N + 1) q^{-\ell} (1 - q^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{\ell > N} z^\ell X_\ell = (N + 1)(1 - q^{-1}) \sum_{\ell > N} w^\ell = \frac{(N + 1)(1 - q^{-1})w^{N+1}}{1 - w}.$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} X(\beta; \rho) &= \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq N} z^\ell X_\ell + \sum_{\ell > N} z^\ell X_\ell \\ &= \frac{1 - wq^{-1}}{(1 - w)^2} + \left[-\frac{1}{1 - w} - \frac{1 - q^{-1}}{(1 - w)^2} + \frac{1 - q^{-1}}{1 - w} \right] w^{N+1} \\ &= \frac{(1 - wq^{-1})(1 - w^{N+1})}{(1 - w)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The choice $\rho = t^2$ and $N = 2T$ completes the proof of the isotropic case. $\square$

(19) **Proposition.** *We have*

$$\Pi^2(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{Z(\alpha) Z(\beta + 1) Z(\alpha + 2\beta + 2) L(\alpha + \beta + 1, \chi)}{L(\beta + 2, \chi) Z(2\alpha + 2\beta + 2)}.$$

Proof. With $a = q^{-\alpha}$, $z = q^{-\beta}$, and $w = zq^{-1} = q^{-\beta-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{k^\times \cap \mathfrak{o}} |t|^\alpha \cdot X(\beta; t^2) dt &= \frac{1 - \varepsilon w q^{-1}}{(1-w)(1-\varepsilon w)} \int_{k^\times \cap \mathfrak{o}} a^T (1 - \varepsilon w^{2T+1}) dt \\ &= \frac{1 - \varepsilon w q^{-1}}{(1-w)(1-\varepsilon w)} \left[\frac{1}{1-a} - \frac{\varepsilon w}{1-aw^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{(1 - \varepsilon w q^{-1})(1 - a^2 w^2)}{(1-w)(1-a)(1-aw^2)(1-\varepsilon aw)}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Therefore, the local period is

$$\int_{\Theta \setminus H} \varphi^\circ = \frac{\Pi^2(\alpha-2, 0)}{Z(\alpha)} = \frac{Z(\alpha-2) Z(1) Z(\alpha) L(\alpha-1, \chi)}{Z(\alpha) L(2, \chi) Z(2\alpha-2)}.$$

Or, adjusting by a multiplicative constant and using $\alpha = 3s$,

$$(20) \quad \frac{Z(\alpha-2) L(\alpha-1, \chi)}{Z(2\alpha-2)} = \frac{Z(3s-2) L(3s-1, \chi)}{Z(6s-2)}.$$

6. CASE $n > 2$

We write vectors in $k^{n'} = k^{n+2}$ as $a' = (x, a, y)$ and the quadratic form as $B'(a') = B(a) - xy$, where $a \in k^n$ and $B(a)$ is the restriction to k^n . Note that B' and B have the same discriminant. Also, we abbreviate $X_\ell^{B'}(\rho) = X'_\ell(\rho)$, $X^{B'}(\beta; \rho) = X'(\beta; \rho)$, $X_\ell^B(\rho) = X_\ell(\rho)$, and $X^B(\beta; \rho) = X(\beta; \rho)$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} X'_\ell &= \text{meas} \left\{ (x, a, y) \in \mathfrak{o}^{n+2} : B(a) - xy = \rho \bmod \varpi^\ell \right\}; \\ X_\ell &= \text{meas} \left\{ a \in \mathfrak{o}^n : B(a) = \rho \bmod \varpi^\ell \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(21) **Proposition.** *With $z = q^{-\beta}$, we have*

$$X'(\beta; \rho) = \frac{Z(\beta+1)}{Z(\beta+2)} \cdot X(\beta+1; \rho).$$

Moreover, if there is a hyperbolic subspace with dimension $2k$,

$$\begin{aligned} X^{n+2k}(\beta; \rho) &= \frac{Z(\beta+1)}{Z(\beta+k+1)} \cdot X^n(\beta+k; \rho); \\ \Pi^{n+2k}(\alpha, \beta) &= \frac{Z(\beta+1)}{Z(\beta+k+1)} \cdot \Pi^n(\alpha, \beta+k). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $\rho^{(2)} = B(a) - \rho \bmod \varpi^\ell$, the points satisfying $B(a) - xy = \rho \bmod \varpi^\ell$ are

$$\left\{ \rho^{(2)} = 0 \bmod \varpi^\ell; xy = 0 \bmod \varpi^\ell \right\} \cup \bigcup_{0 \leq k < \ell} \left\{ \text{ord } \rho^{(2)} = k; xy = \rho^{(2)} \bmod \varpi^\ell \right\}.$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{(2)} = 0 \bmod \varpi^\ell &\iff B(a) - \rho = 0 \bmod \varpi^\ell \quad \text{and} \\ \text{ord } \rho^{(2)} = k &\iff \text{ord}(B(a) - \rho) = k, \end{aligned}$$

and also that $X_\ell^2(\rho^{(2)})$ depends only on $\text{ord } \rho^{(2)}$, and using the formulas for $X_\ell^2(0)$ and $X_\ell^2(\varpi^k)$ obtained in (17) and (18), we are led to

$$\begin{aligned}
X'_\ell(\rho) &= X_\ell(\rho) \cdot X_\ell^2(0) + \sum_{0 \leq k < \ell} (X_k(\rho) - X_{k+1}(\rho)) \cdot X_\ell^2(\varpi^k) \\
&= X_\ell \cdot \left[q^{-\ell} + \ell q^{-\ell}(1 - q^{-1}) \right] + \sum_{0 \leq k < \ell} (X_k - X_{k+1}) \cdot (k+1) q^{-\ell}(1 - q^{-1}) \\
&= X_\ell \cdot q^{-\ell} + q^{-\ell}(1 - q^{-1}) \left[X_\ell \cdot \ell + \sum_{0 \leq k < \ell} (X_k - X_{k+1}) \cdot (k+1) \right] \\
&= X_\ell \cdot q^{-\ell} + q^{-\ell}(1 - q^{-1}) \sum_{0 \leq k < \ell} X_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, with $w = zq^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
X'(\beta; \rho) &= \sum_{\ell \geq 0} z^\ell X'_\ell = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} w^\ell X_\ell + (1 - q^{-1}) \sum_{\ell > k \geq 0} w^\ell X_k \\
&= \sum_{\ell \geq 0} w^\ell X_\ell + (1 - q^{-1}) \sum_{\ell > k \geq 0} w^{\ell-k} \cdot w^k X_k \\
&= X(\beta + 1; \rho) + \frac{(1 - q^{-1})w}{1 - w} \cdot X(\beta + 1; \rho). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

(22) **Proposition.** *The local period for n odd and $G = \text{O}(n + 3)$ is*

$$\frac{Z((n+1)(s-1)+1)}{L((n+1)(s-\frac{1}{2})+1, \chi)}.$$

This subsumes (15).

Proof. We combine proposition (21) with proposition (14) about Π^1 :

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi^{2k+1}(\alpha, \beta) &= \frac{Z(\beta+1)}{Z(\beta+k+1)} \cdot \Pi^1(\alpha, \beta+k) \\
&= \frac{Z(\beta+1)}{Z(\beta+k+1)} \cdot \frac{Z(\alpha) Z_{\tilde{k}/k}(\beta+k+1) Z(\alpha+2\beta+2k+1)}{Z(2\beta+2k+2) L(\alpha+\beta+k+1, \chi)} \\
&= \frac{Z(\beta+1) Z(\alpha) L(\beta+k+1, \chi) Z(\alpha+2\beta+2k+1)}{Z(2\beta+2k+2) L(\alpha+\beta+k+1, \chi)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The local period for $n = 2k + 1$ is

$$\int_{\Theta \setminus H} \varphi^\circ = \frac{\Pi^{2k+1}(\alpha - 2k - 1, 0)}{Z(\alpha)} = \frac{Z(1) Z(\alpha - 2k - 1) L(k+1, \chi) Z(\alpha)}{Z(\alpha) Z(2k+2) L(\alpha - k, \chi)}.$$

Adjusting for a multiplicative constant, the result follows from $\alpha = (n+1)s$. $\square$

(23) **Proposition.** *The local period for n even and $G = \text{O}(n + 3)$ is*

$$\frac{Z((n+1)(s-1)+1) \cdot L((n+1)(s-\frac{1}{2})+\frac{1}{2}, \chi)}{Z((n+1)(2s-1)+1)}.$$

This subsumes (9) and (20).

Proof. We combine proposition (21) with proposition (19) about Π^2 :

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi^{2k+2}(\alpha, \beta) &= \frac{Z(\beta+1)}{Z(\beta+k+1)} \cdot \Pi^2(\alpha, \beta+k) \\ &= \frac{Z(\beta+1) Z(\alpha) Z(\alpha+2\beta+2k+2) L(\alpha+\beta+k+1, \chi)}{L(\beta+k+2, \chi) Z(2\alpha+2\beta+2k+2)}.\end{aligned}$$

The local period for $n = 2k+2$ and $G = \mathrm{O}(n+3)$ is

$$\int_{\Theta \setminus H} \varphi^\circ = \frac{\Pi^{2k+2}(\alpha-2k-2, 0)}{Z(\alpha)} = \frac{Z(1) Z(\alpha-2k-2) Z(\alpha) L(\alpha-k-1, \chi)}{Z(\alpha) L(k+2, \chi) Z(2\alpha-2k-2)}.$$

Adjusting for a multiplicative constant, the result follows from $\alpha = (n+1)s$. $\square$

7. SUMMARY AND COMPARISON WITH KNOWN RESULTS

With $z = q^{-\beta}$, $w = zq^{-1} = q^{-\beta-1}$, and $u = z^2q^{-1} = q^{-2\beta-1}$, we know, from propositions (8), (10), and (16), that

$$(8) \quad X^0(\beta; t^2) = \frac{1 - z^{2T+1}}{1 - z};$$

$$(10) \quad X^1(\beta; t^2) = \frac{1+w}{1-u} \left[1 - \frac{u - \varepsilon w}{1 - \varepsilon w} u^T \right];$$

$$(16) \quad X^2(\beta; t^2) = \frac{(1 - \varepsilon w q^{-1})(1 - \varepsilon w^{2T+1})}{(1 - w)(1 - \varepsilon w)}.$$

According to proposition (21), for $z' = q^{-\beta}$, $z = q^{-\beta-k}$, $w = zq^{-1} = q^{-\beta-k-1}$, and $u = z^2q^{-1} = q^{-2\beta-2k-1}$, we have also

$$\begin{aligned}X^{n+2k}(\beta; t^2) &= \frac{Z(\beta+1)}{Z(\beta+k+1)} \cdot X^n(\beta+k; t^2) = \frac{1 - zq^{-1}}{1 - z'q^{-1}} \cdot X^n(\beta+k; t^2); \\ X^{2k+1}(\beta; t^2) &= \frac{1-w}{1 - z'q^{-1}} \cdot X^1(\beta+k; t^2) = \frac{1-w^2}{(1 - z'q^{-1})(1-u)} \left[1 - \frac{u - \varepsilon w}{1 - \varepsilon w} u^T \right]; \\ X^{2k+2}(\beta; t^2) &= \frac{1-w}{1 - z'q^{-1}} \cdot X^2(\beta+k; t^2) = \frac{(1 - \varepsilon w q^{-1})(1 - \varepsilon w^{2T+1})}{(1 - z'q^{-1})(1 - \varepsilon w)}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}X^n(\beta; 0) &= \frac{1}{1 - q^{-\beta}}, & \text{for } n = 0; \\ X^n(\beta; 0) &= \frac{1 - q^{-2\beta-n-1}}{(1 - q^{-\beta-1})(1 - q^{-2\beta-n})}, & \text{for } n \text{ odd}; \\ X^n(\beta; 0) &= \frac{1 - \varepsilon q^{-\beta-n/2-1}}{(1 - q^{-\beta-1})(1 - \varepsilon q^{-\beta-n/2})}, & \text{for } n \text{ even}.\end{aligned}$$

We saw in propositions (22) and (23) that the local period for $G = \mathrm{O}(n+3)$, adjusted for multiplicative constants, is

$$\begin{aligned}& \frac{Z((n+1)(s-1)+1)}{L((n+1)(s-\frac{1}{2})+1, \chi)} & \text{for } n \text{ odd}; \\ & \frac{Z((n+1)(s-1)+1) \cdot L((n+1)(s-\frac{1}{2})+\frac{1}{2}, \chi)}{Z((n+1)(2s-1)+1)} & \text{for } n \text{ even}.\end{aligned}$$

We compare our $X(\beta; 0)$ with Weil's results on the local zeta functions for the orthogonal groups. For $n > 3$, Weil [30] shows that the local zeta function defined by

$$Z_B(\beta) = \frac{1}{1 - q^{-1}} \cdot \int_{\mathfrak{o}^n} |B(x)|^\beta dx$$

(in our notation) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_B(\beta) &= \frac{1 - q^{-\beta-n}}{(1 - q^{-\beta-1})(1 - q^{-2\beta-n})}, & \text{for } n \text{ odd;} \\ Z_B(\beta) &= \frac{1 - \varepsilon q^{-n/2}}{(1 - q^{-\beta-1})(1 - \varepsilon q^{-\beta-n/2})}, & \text{for } n \text{ even.} \end{aligned}$$

In terms of $X(\beta; 0)$ and with $z = q^{-\beta}$, the local zeta function can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathfrak{o}^n} |B(x)|^\beta dx &= \int_{\mathfrak{o}^n} \int_{k^\times} |\lambda|^\beta \cdot \text{ch} \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{o}^n : \text{ord } B(x) = \text{ord } \lambda \right\} d\lambda dx \\ &= \sum_{\ell \geq 0} z^\ell \cdot (X_\ell - X_{\ell+1}) = X(\beta; 0) - z^{-1} \cdot (X(\beta; 0) - X_0) \\ &= (1 - q^\beta) X(\beta; 0) + q^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for odd n , we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{o}^n} |B(x)|^\beta dx = \frac{(1 - q^{-\beta-n})(1 - q^{-1})}{(1 - q^{-\beta-1})(1 - q^{-2\beta-n})}.$$

And for even n ,

$$\int_{\mathfrak{o}^n} |B(x)|^\beta dx = \frac{(1 - \varepsilon q^{-n/2})(1 - q^{-1})}{(1 - q^{-\beta-1})(1 - \varepsilon q^{-\beta-n/2})}.$$

8. TWO GENERALIZATIONS

Eisenstein series obtained from Hecke characters. Let $\omega : k^\times \backslash \mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a Hecke character with $\omega = \bigotimes_v \omega_v$ and consider

$$\varphi_\omega(g) = \int_{\mathbb{J}} |t|^\alpha \omega(t) \Phi(te \cdot g) dt.$$

As before, if p is a parabolic element such that $e \cdot p = e/\lambda$, we have

$$\varphi_\omega(pg) = \int_{\mathbb{J}} \left| \lambda \frac{t}{\lambda} \right|^\alpha \omega\left(\lambda \frac{t}{\lambda}\right) \Phi\left(\frac{t}{\lambda} e \cdot g\right) dt = |\lambda|^\alpha \omega(\lambda) \varphi_\omega(g).$$

Because the Eisenstein series we are considering is spherical, it must be that φ_ω is spherical too. In particular, at each (suppressed) good non-archimedean place v , ω must be trivial on $\mathfrak{o}^\times$ and therefore $\omega(t) = |t|^\beta$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ depending on the place v .

The outcome of proposition (6) on the local period is modified to

$$\int_{\Theta \backslash H} \varphi_\omega = \int_{k^\times \cap \mathfrak{o}} |t|^{\alpha-n} \omega(t) \cdot X(0; t^2) dt = \int_{k^\times \cap \mathfrak{o}} |t|^{\alpha+\beta-n} \cdot X(0; t^2) dt.$$

All that changes is that α is shifted to $\alpha + \beta$. Recalling that $\alpha = (n+1)s$, we have

$$\alpha + \beta = (n+1)s + (n+1) \cdot \frac{\beta}{n+1} = (n+1)(s + \Delta s),$$

where $\Delta s = \beta/(n+1)$.

Period against automorphic forms on H . The period of E_φ against an automorphic function F on H is

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} (E_\varphi, F)_H &= \int_{H_k \backslash H_\mathbb{A}} E_\varphi \cdot \overline{F} = \int_{H_k \backslash H_\mathbb{A}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Theta_k \backslash H_k} \varphi(\gamma h) \cdot \overline{F}(h) dh \\ &= \int_{\Theta_k \backslash H_\mathbb{A}} \varphi \cdot \overline{F} = \int_{\Theta_\mathbb{A} \backslash H_\mathbb{A}} \varphi(h) \cdot \int_{\Theta_k \backslash \Theta_\mathbb{A}} \overline{F}(\theta h) d\theta dh. \end{aligned}$$

The inner integral is nonzero only if $\text{Res}_\Theta^H F$ has a $\Theta_\mathbb{A}$ -invariant component (because (H, Θ) is a Gelfand pair [1], there is at most one such component). In that case, if f is the normalized spherical vector in $\text{Ind}_\Theta^H 1$, it must be that

$$\int_{\Theta_k \backslash \Theta_\mathbb{A}} F(\theta h) d\theta = \int_{\Theta_k \backslash \Theta_\mathbb{A}} F(\theta) d\theta \cdot f(h) = (F)_\Theta \cdot f(h)$$

and

$$(E_\varphi, F)_H = (\overline{F})_\Theta \cdot \prod_v \int_{\Theta_v \backslash H_v} \varphi_v \cdot \overline{f}.$$

As f generates an irreducible representation $\pi = \bigotimes_v \pi_v$, the local integral is, up to a constant, the same we obtained before, but with s shifted by the local parameter of π_v (i.e., the parameter of the principal series it embeds into).

9. THE CONSTANT TERM

With $\text{vol}(N_k \backslash N_\mathbb{A}) = 1$, the constant term of E_s is

$$c E_s(m) = \varphi^\circ(m) + \int_{N_\mathbb{A}} \varphi^\circ(\xi n m) dn = |\lambda|^\alpha + \int_{N_\mathbb{A}} \varphi^\circ(\xi n m) dn,$$

where ξ is the long Weyl element in $P \backslash G/P$. The second summand factors over primes. At good non-archimedean v (suppressed), we have

$$(25) \quad \int_N \varphi(\xi n m) dn = \int_N \int_{k^\times} |t|^\alpha \Phi(te \cdot \xi n m) dt dn = \int_N \int_{k^\times} |t|^\alpha \Phi(te' \cdot n m) dt dn.$$

Express the form on $k^{n+3} = (k \cdot e') \oplus k^{n+1} \oplus (k \cdot e)$ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & B^{-1} & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$$

and write

$$n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & -\frac{1}{2}B(a) \\ & \text{id} & * \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad m = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & & \\ & \theta & \\ & & 1/\lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\theta \in \Theta = \text{O}(B^{-1})$. Then

$$e' \cdot n \cdot m = \left(\lambda, a\theta, -\frac{1}{2\lambda}B(a) \right)$$

and

$$te' \cdot n \cdot m = \left(\lambda t, at\theta, -\frac{1}{2\lambda t}B(at\theta) \right).$$

Resuming (25),

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_N \varphi(\xi nm) \, dn &= \int_{k^{n+1}} \int_{k^\times} |t|^\alpha \Phi\left(\lambda t, at\theta, -\frac{1}{2\lambda t} B(at\theta)\right) \, dt \, da \\ &= |\lambda|^{(n+1)-\alpha} \int_{k^\times} |t|^{\alpha-(n+1)} \int_{k^{n+1}} \Phi\left(t, a, -\frac{1}{2t} B(a)\right) \, da \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

With $|t| = q^{-T}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{k^{n+1}} \Phi\left(t, a, -\frac{1}{2t} B(a)\right) \, da &= \text{meas}\left\{a \in \mathfrak{o}^{n+1} : |B(a)| \leq |t| \leq 1\right\} \\ &= \text{meas}\left\{a \in \mathfrak{o}^{n+1} : B(a) = 0 \bmod \varpi^T\right\} = X_T^{n+1}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\alpha = (n+1)s$, (26) continues:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_N \varphi(\xi nm) \, dn &= |\lambda|^{(n+1)-\alpha} \int_{k^\times \cap \mathfrak{o}} |t|^{\alpha-(n+1)} \cdot X_T^{n+1}(0) \, dt \\ &= |\lambda|^{(n+1)(1-s)} \cdot X^{n+1}(-(n+1)(1-s); 0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_N \varphi^\circ(\xi nm) \, dn = |\lambda|^{(n+1)(1-s)} \cdot \frac{X^{n+1}(-(n+1)(1-s); 0)}{Z((n+1)s)}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Avraham Aizenbud, Dmitry Gourevitch, Steve Rallis, and Gérard Schiffmann, *Multiplicity one theorems* (updated 2008), available at <http://pjm.math.berkeley.edu/annals/ta/090120-Schiffmann-090120-Schiffmann-v1.pdf>. To appear in Ann. of Math. (2).
- [2] Avraham Aizenbud, Dmitry Gourevitch, and Eitan Sayag, *$(O(V \oplus F), O(V))$ is a Gelfand pair for any quadratic space V over a local field F* , Math. Z. **261** (2009), no. 2, 239–244. MR 2457297
- [3] J. W. S. Cassels, *Rational quadratic forms*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, vol. 13, Academic Press Inc., London, 1978. MR 522835 (80m:10019)
- [4] Paul Garrett, *Euler factorization of global integrals*, NSF-CBMS Regional Conference in Mathematics on Euler Products and Eisenstein Series (Texas Christian University, Fort Worth, Tex., May 20–24, 1996), Automorphic forms, automorphic representations, and arithmetic (Robert S. Doran, Ze-Li Dou, and George T. Gilbert, eds.), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 66.2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1999, pp. 35–101. MR 1703758 (2000m:11043)
- [5] David Ginzburg, Dihua Jiang, and David Soudry, *Poles of L -functions and theta liftings for orthogonal groups*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu (published online 2009).
- [6] D. Ginzburg, I. Piatetski-Shapiro, and S. Rallis, *L functions for the orthogonal group*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (1997), no. 611. MR 1357823 (98m:11041)
- [7] David Ginzburg, Stephen Rallis, and David Soudry, *Periods, poles of L -functions and symplectic-orthogonal theta lifts*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **487** (1997), 85–114. MR 1454260 (98f:11046)
- [8] Benedict H. Gross, *Some applications of Gelfand pairs to number theory*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **24** (1991), no. 2, 277–301. MR 1074028 (91i:11055)
- [9] Benedict H. Gross and Dipendra Prasad, *Test vectors for linear forms*, Math. Ann. **291** (1991), no. 2, 343–355. MR 1129372 (92k:22028)
- [10] ———, *On the decomposition of a representation of SO_n when restricted to SO_{n-1}* , Canad. J. Math. **44** (1992), no. 5, 974–1002. MR 1186476 (93j:22031)
- [11] ———, *On irreducible representations of $\text{SO}_{2n+1} \times \text{SO}_{2m}$* , Canad. J. Math. **46** (1994), no. 5, 930–950. MR 1295124 (96c:22028)
- [12] Benedict H. Gross and Mark Reeder, *From Laplace to Langlands via representations of orthogonal groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **43** (2006), no. 2, 163–205. MR 2216109 (2007a:11159)

- [13] Atsushi Ichino and Tamotsu Ikeda, *On the periods of automorphic forms on special orthogonal groups and the Gross–Prasad conjecture* (updated 2007), available at <http://www.tau.ac.il/~gafa/>. To appear in Geom. Funct. Anal.
- [14] Jun-ichi Igusa, *An introduction to the theory of local zeta functions*, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 14, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 2000. MR **1743467** (2001j:11112)
- [15] H. Iwaniec and P. Sarnak, *Perspectives on the analytic theory of L -functions*, Visions in Mathematics, towards 2000 (Tel Aviv University, August 25), GAFA 2000 (N. Alon, J. Bourgain, A. Connes, M. Gromov, and V. Milman, eds.), Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000, pp. 705–741. Geom. Funct. Anal., Special Volume, Part II. MR **1826269** (2002b:11117)
- [16] Hervé Jacquet, Erez Lapid, and Jonathan Rogawski, *Periods of automorphic forms*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 1, 173–240. MR **1625060** (99c:11056)
- [17] H. Jacquet and J. A. Shalika, *On Euler products and the classification of automorphic representations. I*, Amer. J. Math. **103** (1981), no. 3, 499–558. MR **618323** (82m:10050a)
- [18] ———, *On Euler products and the classification of automorphic forms. II*, Amer. J. Math. **103** (1981), no. 4, 777–815. MR **623137** (82m:10050b)
- [19] Dihua Jiang, *Periods of automorphic forms*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Complex Geometry and Related Fields (Stephen S.-T. Yau, Zhijie Chen, Jianpan Wang, and Sheng-Li Ten, eds.), AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 39, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 2007, pp. 125–148. MR **2338623** (2008k:11052)
- [20] Dihua Jiang and David Soudry, *The local converse theorem for $SO(2n+1)$ and applications*, Ann. of Math. (2) **157** (2003), no. 3, 743–806. MR **1983781** (2005b:11193)
- [21] ———, *Generic representations and local Langlands reciprocity law for p -adic SO_{2n+1}* , Contributions to automorphic forms, geometry, and number theory (Haruzo Hida, Dinakar Ramakrishnan, and Freydoon Shahidi, eds.), Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, Md., 2004, pp. 457–519. MR **2058617** (2005f:11272)
- [22] Henry H. Kim, *On local L -functions and normalized intertwining operators*, Canad. J. Math. **57** (2005), no. 3, 535–597. MR **2134402** (2006a:11063)
- [23] Erez Lapid and Omer Offen, *Compact unitary periods*, Compos. Math. **143** (2007), no. 2, 323–338. MR **2309989** (2008g:11091)
- [24] Erez Lapid and Jonathan Rogawski, *Periods of Eisenstein series*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **333** (2001), no. 6, 513–516. MR **1860921** (2002k:11072)
- [25] O. Timothy O’Meara, *Introduction to quadratic forms*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000. Reprint of the 1973 edition. MR **1754311** (2000m:11032)
- [26] I. I. Pjateckij-Šapiro, *Euler subgroups*, Summer School on Group Representations of the Bolyai János Mathematical Society (Budapest, August 16), Lie groups and their representations (I. M. Gelfand, ed.), John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1975, pp. 597–620. MR **0406935** (53 #10720)
- [27] Vladimir Platonov and Andrei Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 139, Academic Press Inc., Boston, Mass., 1994. Translated from the 1991 Russian original by Rachel Rowen. MR **1278263** (95b:11039)
- [28] Winfried Scharlau, *Quadratic and Hermitian forms*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 270, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985. MR **770063** (86k:11022)
- [29] Binyong Sun and Chen-Bo Zhu, *Multiplicity one theorems: the archimedean case* (2009), preprint, available at [arXiv:0903.1413v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/0903.1413v1) [math.RT].
- [30] André Weil, *Adeles and algebraic groups*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 23, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1982. MR **670072** (83m:10032)